Carbon Dioxide Chemistry of a Binuclear Iridium(0) Complex. Rapid and Reversible Oxygen Atom Transfer from Carbonate

Mark K. Reinking, Jinfeng Ni, Phillip E. Fanwick,[†] and Clifford P. Kubiak*,1

> Department of Chemistry, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Received February 13, 1989

We report the activation of CO_2 by a binuclear complex of Ir(0). The activation and reduction of carbon dioxide are areas of potential importance for artificially recycling the products of com-bustion and respiration.^{2,3} The activation of CO_2 may be accomplished by (i) chemical, $^{2-18}$ (ii) electrochemical, $^{19-21}$ or (iii) photochemical $^{22-26}$ means. A serious impediment to chemical or electrochemical reduction of CO₂, however, is the disproportionation of the single-electron-transfer product, $CO_2^{\bullet-}$ (eq 1).

$$2CO_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} CO + CO_3^2$$
(1)

Reactions of low-valent transition-metal complexes with CO2 often result in the production of 1 equiv of CO_3^{2-} for each equivalent of CO_2 reduced to $CO_2^{11-14,16}$ The resulting carbonate ligands are usually unreactive end products that serve to halve the overall efficiency of CO₂ reduction. We describe herein the preparation of the new iridium complexes $Ir_2(CO)_4(dmpm)_2$ (1) (dmpm = bis(dimethylphosphino)methane) and $Ir_2(CO)_3(dmpm)_2$ (2) and the reaction of 2 with $CO_2(1)$ to form the μ -carbonate complex $Ir_2(\mu$ -CO₃)(CO)₂(dmpm)₂ (3). The carbonate ligand of complex 3 shows unprecedented oxygen atom transfer reactivity and has been found to undergo rapid and reversible oxygen atom transfer to CO.

The reaction of $Ir_2(CO)_4(dmpm)_2$ (1)²⁷ with Me₃NO produces

- [†]Address correspondence pertaining to crystallographic studies to this author
 - (1) Research Fellow of the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, 1987-1989.
 - (2) Eisenberg, R.; Hendriksen, D. E. Adv. Catal. 1979, 28, 79.
 - (3) Palmer, D. A.; van Eldik, R. Chem. Rev. 1983, 83, 651
- (4) Darensbourg, D. J.; Kudaroski, R. A. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 129.
- (5) Inoue, S.; Koinuma, H. Rev. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 6, 291.
- (6) Lundquist, E. G.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8309.
 - (7) Lee, G. R.; Cooper, N. J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1467.
- (8) DeLaet, D. L.; del Rosario, R.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 75
- (9) Wu, J.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1805. (10) Calabrese, J. C.; Herskovitz, T.; Kinney, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5914
- (11) Lee, G. R.; Maher, J. M.; Cooper, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2956.
- (12) Evans, G. O.; Walter, W. F.; Mills, D. R.; Streit, C. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 144, C34.
 - (13) Maher, J. M.; Cooper, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7606.
- (14) Chatt, J.; Kubota, M.; Leigh, G. J.; March, T. C.; Mason, R.; Yarrow, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 1033.
- (15) Bryan, J. C.; Geib, S. J. Rheingold, A. L.; Meyer, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2826.
- (16) Fachinetti, G.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1767.
- (17) Nicholas, K. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 188, C10.
 (18) Maher, J. M.; Lee, G. R.; Cooper, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6797. Lee, G. R.; Cooper, N. J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1467.
 (19) Fisher, B. J.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7361.
- (20) Bruce, M. R. M.; Megehee, E.; Sullivan, B. P.; Thorp, H.; O'Toole,
- T. R.; Downard A.; Meyer, T. J. Organometallics 1988, 7, 238 and references therein.
- (21) Frese, K. W.; Summers, D. P.; Cinibulk, M. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1988. 135. 264.
- (22) DeLaet, D. L.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1412.
- (23) (a) Hawecker, J.; Lehn, J.-M.; Zeissel, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 536. (b) Lehn, J.-M.; Zeissel, R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1982, 79, 701.
- (24) Kutal, C.; Corbin, A. J.; Ferraudi, G. Organometallics 1987, 6, 553. (25) Belmore, K. A.; Vanderpool, R. A.; Tsai, J.-C.; Khan, M. A.; Nic-

holas, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2004.

(26) Lemke, F. R.; DaLaet, D. L.; Gao, J.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6904.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of Ir₂(CO₃)(CO)₂(dmpm)₂ (3). Selected bond distances and angles: Ir(1)-Ir(2), 2.965 (1) Å; Ir(1)-O(31), 2.08 (1) Å; Ir(2)-O(32), 2.09 (1) Å; O(31)-C(30), 1.29 (3) Å; O(32)-C(30), 1.29 (3) Å; C(30)-O(33), 1.27 (3) Å; Ir-P(av), 2.311 (6) Å; O(31)-C-(30)-O(32), 123 (2)°; O(31)-C(30)-O(33), 118 (2))°; O(32)-C(30)-C(33), 119 (2)°; P(11)-Ir(1)-P(12), 176.4 (2)°; P(21)-Ir(2)-P(22), 171.4 (2)°; O(32)-Ir(2)-C(21), 177.8 (9)°; O(31)-Ir(1)-C(11), 176.4 $(7)^{\circ}$

1 equiv of CO₂ and $Ir_2(CO)_3(dmpm)_2$ (2).²⁸ Complex 2 exhibits $\nu(CO)$ bands at 1902 (s) and 1846 cm⁻¹, indicative of terminal and semibridging CO ligands, respectively. The presence of two ν (P-C) dmpm bands at 942 (m) and 929 (m) cm⁻¹ is a signature of a cis, cis or cis, trans (dmpm)₂ conformation.²⁹ The variabletemperature ³¹P(¹H) NMR spectrum of 2 shows a single resonance at -42.9 ppm at 25 °C, coalescence at -10 °C, and an AA'XX' multiplet extending from -22.3 to -61.4 ppm ($J_{P_AP_X} = 74.3$ Hz) at -70 °C. The exchange rate at -10 °C is calculated to be extremely rapid, 5000 s⁻ⁱ, with a free energy of coalescence of 11 kcal/mol.^{31,32} The dynamic ³¹P{¹H} data together with IR evidence in the v(P-C) region establish a cis, trans diphosphine conformation for 2. These data suggest that 2 possesses the "non-A-frame" M_2L_7 geometry, analogous to the structurally characterized complex Rh₂(CO)₃(dppm)₂.³⁰



(27) Complex 1 was prepared from [Ir(COD)Cl]₂ (1.41 g, 2.10 mmol) and dmpm (0.57 g, 4.20 mmol) at 0 °C in toluene. The resulting cream-colored slurry was stirred for 5 min at which time CO was added, causing the mixture to turn dark red. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and the solution was stirred 2 h, resulting in a pale yellow solution and a light yellow solid. This product was reduced in situ over an excess of Na/Hg under an atmosphere of CO for 5 days. The yellow solution was filtered and reduced in volume. Precipitation of yellow crystalline material was accomplished by slow addition of hexanes to give 1.34 g (83% yield) of Ir₂(CO)₄(dmpm)₂ (1). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₈P₄O₄Ir₂: C, 21.87; H, 3.68. Found: C, 21.94; H, 3.64. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 3.46 (m, 4 H), δ 1.80 (s, 24 H). ³¹P₁⁴H NMR (CD₃C₆D₅): δ -65.4 (s). IR (CH₃C₅H₅): ν(CO) 1956 (m), 1930 (s), 1890 (s), 1865 (w). Complex 1 was also characterized by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study, the results of which will be reported separately

diffraction study, the results of which will be reported separately. (28) Complex **2** was prepared from **1** (0.025 g, 0.32 mmol) and Me₃NO (0.024 g, 0.32 mmol) at 70 °C in toluene. The solvent was removed to give 0.20 g (83% yield) of red-brown Ir₂(CO)₃(dmpm)₂ (**2**). Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{26}P_{4}O_{3}I_{72}$: C, 21.08; H, 3.82. Found: C, 21.41; H, 4.06. ¹H NMR (C₆C₆): δ 2.63 (m, 4 H), 1.45 (s, 24 H). ³¹P[⁴H] NMR (C₆C₆): δ -42.9 (s). IR (KBr): ν (CO) 1902 (vs), 1846 (m); ν (P-C) 942 (m), 929 (m). (29) (a) Wu, J.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1319. (b) Wu, J.; Fanwick, P. E.; Kubiak, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press

press

(30) Woodcock, C.; Eisenberg, R. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1287

(31) Binsch, G.; Kessler, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 411. (32) Sandstrom, J. Dynamic NMR Spectroscopy; Academic Press: New York, 1982.

Scheme I. Reversible Carbonate Oxygen Atom Transfer from 3 to CO



Complex 2 reacts with both CO_2 and CO. The reaction of 2 with liquid CO_2 affords a mixture of 1 and the new carbonate complex $Ir_2(CO_3)(CO)_2(dmpm)_2$ (3).³⁶ The reaction of 2 with 1 equiv of CO leads back to 1. The structure of 3 was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.³⁷ An ORTEP drawing of 3 together with selected bond angles and distances appears in Figure 1. Complex 3 possesses an essentially planar bridging carbonate, torsionally canted at 20° with respect to the Ir–Ir vector. The Ir–Ir separation of 2.965 (1) Å, deep purple color, and overall structure are consistent with a weakly Ir…Ir interacting, d⁸–d⁸ face-to-face bi-square-planar system.

The formation of 3 and 1 in the reaction of 2 with $CO_2(l)$ appears to result from reductive disproportionation of $2CO_2$ to CO_3^{2-} and CO (eq 2). Isolated yields of 3 are typically ~40%,



indicating that some of the CO produced by CO_2 disproportionation is lost to the vapor phase in equilibrium with CO_2 liquid under reaction conditions.

The reaction of **2** with ${}^{13}CO_2$ (99% ${}^{13}C$) leads to a surprising result. Comparison of the IR bands of **3** produced from ${}^{13}CO_2$ with those of **3** from CO₂ (natural abundance) reveals new $\nu({}^{13}CO)$ at 1896, 1878, 1523, and 1226 cm⁻¹. The disproportionation of ${}^{13}CO_2$ to ${}^{13}CO$ and ${}^{13}CO_3$ was verified by ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR. The sample of **3** prepared from ${}^{13}CO_2$ showed ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR signals at δ 177 (${}^{13}CO$) and δ 164 (${}^{13}CO_3$). However, there are also

Table I. Comparison of IR Data for 3 Prepared from $CO_2 vs \ ^{13}CO_2$ (cm⁻¹)

3 (CO ₂)	3 (¹³ CO ₂)	assignment ³⁸	_
1942 (vs)	1942 (vs)	ν(¹² CO)	_
	1896 (m)	$\nu(^{13}CO)$	
1920 (sh)	1920 (w)	$\nu(^{12}CO)$	
	1878 (m)	$\nu(^{13}CO)$	
1561 (vs)	1561 (s)	$\nu(^{12}CO_3)$	
	1523 (s)	$\nu(^{13}CO_3)$	
1257 (m)	1257 (m)	$\nu(^{12}CO_3)$	
	1226 (m)	$\nu(^{13}CO_3)$	

intense $\nu({}^{12}\text{CO}_3)$ bands evident at 1561 (s) and 1257 (m) cm⁻¹ (Table I).³⁸ The key observation from this labeling experiment is that a significant portion of the CO₃²⁻ groups contain ¹²C! This suggests that CO from **2** is used to produce some of the CO₃²⁻. The significance of this result lies in the fact that the products are not consistent with simple disproportionation of two ¹³CO₂ molecules to produce ¹³CO and ¹³CO₃²⁻.

Our results suggest that the μ -CO₃ group of **3** undergoes reversible oxygen atom transfers with CO. This conclusion is supported by additional experimental evidence. A mixture of **1** and **3** reacts at 25 °C in acetonitrile to give **2** and CO₂, establishing the reversibility of eq 2. Complex **3** reacts rapidly with CO to give **1** and CO₂ (eq 3).



The presence of ${}^{12}\text{CO}_3{}^{2-}$ in the reaction of 2 with ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$ therefore is explained by reverse oxygen atom transfer from coordinated ${}^{13}\text{CO}_3{}^{2-}$ to ${}^{12}\text{CO}$ to produce ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$ and ${}^{12}\text{CO}_2$, either of which can disproportionate. Indeed, in the reaction of 3 with ${}^{13}\text{CO}$ (99% ${}^{13}\text{C}$), the products are 50% ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$, 50% ${}^{12}\text{CO}_2$, as determined by GC/MS, and 1 (50% ${}^{13}\text{CO}$) (eq 4). The insertion

$$Ir_{2}(CO_{3})(CO)_{2}(dmpm)_{2} + {}^{13}CO \rightarrow 3 Ir_{2}(CO)_{2}({}^{13}CO)_{2}(dmpm)_{2} + {}^{13}CO_{2} + {}^{12}CO_{2} (4) 1:1$$

of CO into the μ -CO₃ Ir-O bond of 3 to afford a C₂O₄ intermediate is implied by the oxygen atom transfer to CO. The fact that equal ${}^{13}CO_2/{}^{12}CO_2$ isotope partitioning is observed in the reaction of 3 with ${}^{13}CO$ (eq 4), suggests that the C₂O₄ intermediate rapidly collapses to 2 equiv of CO₂ (Scheme I).³⁹ The reverse

⁽³³⁾ Haymore, B. L.; Ibers, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974., 96, 3325.
(34) Feltham, R. D.; Kriege, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 5064.
Doughty, D. T.; Gordon, G.; Stewart, R. P., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2645.

⁽³⁵⁾ Lawson, H. J.; Atwood, J. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3680. (36) Complex 3 was prepared from 2 and CO₂(1) in a LECO-MRA-114R pressure reactor at 25 °C. Typically, 3 L of CO₂ gas was condensed into a 5-mL reactor containing 0.12 g (0.16 mmol) of 2, resulting in an average pressure of 1700 psi. The mixture was vented and then opened in an inertatmosphere box to collect solid products. Solids were washed with ~10 mL of Et₂O followed by ~1 mL of toluene to remove unreacted 2 and 1, formed as a coproduct. Ir₂(CO₃)(CO)₂(dmpm)₂ (3) was dried under vacuum to yield 0.051 g (41%) of purple solids. X-ray quality crystals of 3 were obtained by the diffusion of Et₂O into an acetonitrile solution of 3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₈P₄O₅Ir₂: C, 20.21; H, 3.66. Found: C, 19.53; H, 4.16. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 3.32 (m, J_{PH} = 4.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.76 (s, 24 H). ³¹Pi¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ -6.2 (s). ¹³Ci¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 177 (s, ¹³CO), 164 (s, ¹³CO₃). IR (KBr): ν (COO) 1942 (s), 1920 (sh), 1602 (sh), 1561 (s), 1257 (m); ν (P-C) 941 (s).

⁽³⁷⁾ Crystal data for 3-MeCN: Ir₂P₄O₅NC₁₅H₃₁, fw = 813.71, monoclinic, space group P2₁/n (No. 14), a = 11.823 (2) Å, b = 14.166 (3) Å, c = 14.807(4) Å, $\beta = 96.13$ (2)°, V = 2465 (2) Å³, Z = 4, $d_{calc} = 2.192$ g cm⁻³. The structure was solved by MULTAN least-squares Fourier methods and was refined to R and R_w values of 0.041 and 0.047 for 214 variables and 1875 unique observations with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ with Mo K α radiation. Data were corrected for absorption empirically.

⁽³⁸⁾ The ν (¹³CO) and ν (¹²CO) bands of CO and CO₃²⁻ ligands in complex 3 do not appear to be mixed appreciably. The relative intensities of all ν (¹³CO) and ν (¹²CO) bands in the IR spectrum of 3 are directly proportional to the total ¹³C/¹²C ratio. Moreover, ¹³C[¹H] NMR verifies incorporation of ¹³C into both CO and CO₃²⁻.

⁽³⁹⁾ A μ -C(O)OC(O) intermediate formed by CO₂ insertion with 2^{18} is also possible but is not consistent with the formation of carbonate. An Ir₂- $(\mu$ -O) intermediate⁴⁰ has been considered but eliminated on the grounds that the μ -CO₃²⁻ carbon of 3 does not equilibrate with ¹³CO₂.

process, head-to-tail dimerization of CO₂, has often been invoked in the disproportion of CO₂. Oxygen atom transfer to CO from CO_2 ,¹¹ NO,³³ NO₂,³⁴ and O_2 ³⁵ has been reported. The present system is the first to display facile oxygen atom transfer from carbonate. Our studies of oxygen atom transfer from 3 to other substrates are continuing.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the NSF (Grant CHE-8707963). We are also grateful to the NSF for support of the Chemical X-ray Diffraction Facility at Purdue. A loan of IrCl₃ from Johnson-Matthey, Inc., is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables consisting of crystal data and data collection parameters (Table 1), positional parameters (Table 2), temperature factor expressions (Table 3), bond distances (Table 4), and bond angles (Table 5) for 3 (10 pages); a table of observed and calculated structure factors for 3 (14 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(40) Sharp, P. R.; Flynn, J. R. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 3231.

Total Synthesis and Evaluation of (\pm) -N-(*tert*-Butyloxycarbonyl)-CBI, (\pm) -CBI-CDPI₁, and (±)-CBI-CDPI₂: CC-1065 Functional Agents **Incorporating the Equivalent** 1,2,9,9a-Tetrahydrocycloprop[1,2-c]benz[1,2-e]indol-4one (CBI) Left-Hand Subunit

Dale L. Boger,*,1a Takayoshi Ishizaki,1b

Ronald J. Wysocki, Jr., and Stephen A. Munk^{1c}

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Paul A. Kitos and Oranart Suntornwat

Department of Biochemistry, University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas 66045-2500 Received March 30, 1989

(+)-CC-1065 (1, NSC-298223), an antitumor antibiotic isolated from cultures of Streptomyces zelensis, possesses exceptionally potent in vitro cytotoxic activity, broad spectrum antimicrobial activity, and confirmed in vivo antitumor activity.²⁻³ In a series of extensive investigations the site and mechanism of the (+)-CC-1065 antitumor activity have been related to its irreversible covalent alkylation of sequence-selective B-DNA minor groove sites [5'-d(A/GNTTA)-3' and 5'-d(AAAAA)-3'] that has been demonstrated to proceed by 3'-adenine N-3 alkylation of the electrophilic cyclopropane present in the left-hand (CPI) subunit of (+)-CC-1065.^{4,5} The demonstration that simplified agents including CDPI₃⁶ methyl ester exhibit a substantial preference for A-T rich noncovalent minor groove binding⁷ attributable to preferential stabilization of a noncovalent complex within the

(2) Reynolds, V. L.; McGovren, J. P.; Hurley, L. H. J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 319. Hurley, L. H.; Needham-VanDevanter, D. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 230.

(3) Boger, D. L.; Coleman, R. S. Studies in Natural Products Chemistry;

Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1989; Vol. 3.
(4) Wierenga, W.; Bhuyan, B. K.; Kelly, R. C.; Krueger, W. C.; Li, L. H.; McGovren, J. P.; Swenson, D. H.; Warpehoski, M. A. Adv. Enzyme Regul. 1986, 25, 141.

(5) Warpehoski, M. A.; Gebhard, I.; Kelly, R. C.; Krueger, W. C.; Li, L. H.; McGovren, J. P.; Prairie, M. D.; Wicnienski, N.; Wierenga, W. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 590.

(6) Boger, D. L.; Coleman, R. S.; Invergo, B. J. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1521

 Boger, D. L.; Coleman, R. S.; Invergo, B. J.; Zarrinmayeh, H.; Kitos,
 P. A.; Thompson, S. C.; Leong, T.; McLaughlin, L. W. Chem. Biol. Interact., in press. CDP13 methyl ester has been shown to exhibit a 2.6-2.2 kcal preference for minor groove binding to poly[dA].poly[dT] and poly[d(A-T)]•poly[d(A-T)] versus poly[dG]•poly[dC].

Scheme I



Scheme II^a



^a(a) 2.0 equiv of $(tBuO_2C)_2O$, dioxane, 95 °C, 3 h, 96%; (b) 1.2 equiv of N-bromosuccinimide, catalytic H₂SO₄, THF, -60 °C, 5 h, 98%; (c) 1.3 equiv of NaH, 3.0 equiv of 3-bromopropyne, 24 °C, 3 h, 100%; (d) 2.0 equiv of Bu₃SnH, 0.2 equiv of AIBN, benzene, 80 °C, 1 h; (e) 6.3 equiv of Me₂S·BH₃, THF, 0-25 °C, 3 h; 2 N NaOH, 30% H₂O₂, 0-25 °C, 1 h, 45 °C, 20 min, 62% from 9; (f) 2.0 equiv of Ph₃P, 6 equiv of CCl₄, CH₂Cl₂, 24 °C, 10 h, 99%; (g) 25% aqueous HCO_2NH_4/THF 1:5, 10% Pd/C, 0 °C, 2.5 h, 97%; (h) 3 equiv of NaH, THF, 24 °C, 2 h, 93%; (i) 3 N anhydrous HCl/EtOAc, 24 °C, 10 min, 100%; (j) for 17, 3 equiv of EDCI, 1.0 equiv of 15, 5 equiv of NaHCO3, DMF, 24 °C, 3 h, 86%; for 18, 3 equiv of EDCI, 1.0 equiv of 16, DMF, 24 °C, 5 h, 78%; (k) for 4, 5 equiv of NaH, THF, 24 °C, 2 h, 74%; for 5, 2 equiv of NaH, 2:1 THF-DMF, 0 °C, 1 h, 84%.

narrower, sterically more accessible A-T rich minor groove⁸ (accessible hydrophobic binding) has suggested that CC-1065 is best represented as a selective⁹ alkylating agent superimposed on the CDPI₃ skeleton and derives its properties in part from the effective delivery of a selective alkylating agent to accessible adenine N-3 alkylation sites. The additional demonstration that agents possessing the exceptionally reactive, parent 1,2,7,7atetrahydrocycloprop[1,2-c]indol-4-one (CI) left-hand subunit, e.g., CI-CDPI_x (x = 1, 2),¹⁰ or the unnatural enantiomer of the CC-1065 left-hand subunit (CPI), e.g., (-)-CPI-CDPI₂^{11,12} and

(8) Nelson, H. C. M.; Finch, J. T.; Luisi, B. F.; Klug, A. Nature (London) 1987, 330, 221. Coll, M.; Frederick, C. A.; Wang, A. H.-J.; Rich, A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1987, 84, 8385. Coll, M.; Aymami, J.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H.; Rich, A.; Wang, A. H.-J. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 310. (9) Hurley, L. H.; Lee, C.-S.; McGovren, J. P.; Warpehoski, M. A.; (1) Hulley, E. H., Det, C. S., McGorlei, J. T., Walpenost, H. Y.,
 Mitchell, M. A.; Kelly, R. C.; Aristoff, P. A. Biochemistry 1988, 27, 3886.
 (10) Boger, D. L.; Wysocki, R. J., Jr. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 1238.
 (11) Boger, D. L.; Coleman, R. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4796.
 (12) Boger, D. L.; Munk, S. A.; Bina, M., unpublished observations,

Supplementary Material.

^{(1) (}a) National Institutes of Health career development award recipient, 1983-1988 (CA 01134), Alfred P. Sloan fellow, 1985-1989. (b) On leave from Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tochigi, Japan. (c) American Cancer Society postdoctoral fellow (ACS no. PF-3311).